(34)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 6909 of 2007

PETITIONER Satya Narayan Yadav, S/o Shri Sahedev Yadav, aged about 35 years, R/o Village and Post Narvadapur, Police Station Kamleshpur, Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Mainpat, District Surgjuja (C.G.)
3. Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Narvadapur, Tahsil Sitapur, District Surguja (C.G.)
4. Assistant Director, Inspector of Schools, Mainpat, District Surguja (C.G.)
5. Collector, District Surguja (C.G.)
6. Director, Rajiv Gandhi Prathmik Shiksha Mission, Mainpat, District Sarguja (C.G.)
7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Surguja (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Smt. Hamida Siddique, Counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Pradeep Singh, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(20.11.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by order dated 08.04.1997, the petitioner was selected as *Shiksha Karmi (Bal Shikshak)* under *Shiksha Guarantee Yojna* for *Shiksha Kendra*, Patrol Tikra. She submits that even after selection and communication made to the petitioner, the petitioner was not given appointment letter. She also submits that one post is still vacant in the concerned institution, but whenever the petitioner makes contact with the authorities of the State, they do not give any satisfactory reply to him.

(35)

For the present, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of the representation in accordance with law.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State.

The prayer is allowed.

It is directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation before the concerned authority within a period of 3 weeks from today along with a copy of this order and a copy of the writ petition and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same at the earliest by passing a reasoned order.

With the aforesaid observation/direction, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyna